



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office


Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

47888 e 11/25/2009

HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 10036

Paper No.

BARCODE: 10/519,691 11/25/2009 PERAGINE, SALVATORE 267.186 5183 PHASGE, ARUN S 1795 12/27/2004

Application No.:	10/519,691 	Date Mailed:	11/25/2009
First Named Inventor:	Peragine, Salvatore,	Examiner:	PHASGE, ARUN S
Attorney Docket No.:	267.186	Art Unit:	1795
Confirmation No.:	5183	Filing Date:	12/27/2004

Please find attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 10/519,691	Applicant(s) PERAGINE ET AL.	
	Examiner Arun Phasge	Art Unit 1795	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 06 November 2009 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.
EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☒ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☒ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The (6) heading should read Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal. The summary of claimed subject matter does not refer/ identify the independent claims 1 and 17, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any. The status of claims does not contain a statement which claims are on appeal. Arguments section each grounds of rejection should be argued under it's own heading. The claims appendix needs to be resubmitted without markings/crossed out text. An entire brief is not required just the defective sections may be submitted .

/BRIDGET C. MONROE/
Patent Appeals Center Specialist
571-272-1651

